



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/620,180	07/15/2003	James R. Keogh	P-8024.02 Continuation 2 8679		
7590 12/07/2005			EXAMINER		
Kenneth J. Collier			NAFF, DAVID M		
Medtronic, Inc.					
710 Medtronic Parkway N.E.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Minneapolis, MN 55432			1651		

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

								
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Author Occurrence		10/620,1	80	KEOGH ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit				
		David M.		1651				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	n appears on the	e cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED IN THE MAILING STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED IN THE MAILING SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provided for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the department of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THE FR 1.136(a). In no evon. period will apply and w statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATIO ent, however, may a reply be ti ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror dication to become ABANDON	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	15 July 2003.						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□								
ŕ	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>35-44</u> is/are pending in the application.							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠								
7)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
8)[<u>_</u>							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
	· ·		objected to by the	Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	-,,	•	` ,	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	reign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
- /-	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have bee	en received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docui			tion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		• •		l Stage			
	application from the International B							
* 9	see the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certi	fied copies not receiv	red.				
Attachmen	E(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S		Paper No(s)/Mail E 5) Notice of Informal		O-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date 7/15/03.	וטוטסן	6) Other:	. atom replication (F)	- 142)			

Application/Control Number: 10/620,180 Page 2

Art Unit: 1651

5

10

15

20

25

. 30

DETAILED ACTION

A preliminary amendment of 7/15/03 canceled claims 1-34 and added new claims 78-87. However, the case did not contain claims 35-77. Therefore, claims 78-87 are renumbered as claims 35-44.

Claims examined on the merits are 35-44, which are all claims in the application.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 35-44 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory

obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1
53 of U.S. Patent No. 6,617,142 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the presently claimed invention of forming a coating on a

Application/Control Number: 10/620,180 Page 3

Art Unit: 1651

medical device would have been obvious from the claimed invention of the patent of forming a coating on medical that is an invention encompassed by the present claims.

Conclusion

5 The claims are free of the prior art.

Patent ('552) is made of record to show related subject matter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M. Naff whose telephone number is 571-272-0920. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

15

10

20

Application/Control Number: 10/620,180

Art Unit: 1651

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

10

5

Dávid M. Naff Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651

DMN 11/28/05